

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-26 remain in the application. Claims 13-16 and 18-26 are amended to correctly indicate the claims from which they depend.

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the thorough review and consideration of the pending application. The office action dated May 8, 2003 has been received and its contents carefully reviewed.

In the Office Action, claims 1, 2, 6, 7, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,818,562 to Yoon (hereinafter "Yoon '562"). Claims 3-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoon '562 in view of Japanese Patent Application No. JP-09-127542 to Shinji (hereinafter "Shinji"). Claims 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoon '562 in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,561,539 to Funahata (hereinafter "Funahata"). Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoon '562 in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,319,019 to Kwon (hereinafter "Kwon"). Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Publication No. US2001/0035930 A1 to Yun et al. (hereinafter "Yun"). Claim 13-16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoon '562 in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,495,768 to Cho (hereinafter "Cho"). Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yun. Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yun in view of Cho. Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yun in view of Kwon. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yun in view of Funahata.

The rejection of claims 1 and 7 is respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested. Claims 1 and 7 are allowable over the cited references in that each of these claims recites a combination of elements including, for example, a pad part being provided with a plurality of pads bonded to pads of the liquid crystal display panel and divided into at least two parts. Yoon does not teach or suggest at least this feature of the claimed invention. The structure in Yoon that the examiner points to in FIGs. 4A and 4B as satisfying this element is not a tape carrier package, but rather the connection pads at the edge of the LCD display. (See Yoon col. 1, li. 66 to col. 2, li. 7.) The tape carrier packages is shown in FIG. 3 labeled 300, and the inner leads 330 and oblique leads 340 are what is attached to the connection pads and they can be seen in FIG. 4B. (See FIGs. 3, 4A, and 4B.) The tape carrier package 300 shown in Yoon clearly has only a single pad part and not the pad part being provided with a plurality of pads bonded to pads of the liquid crystal display panel and divided into at least two parts of claim 1. The structure of claims 1 and 7 of the present invention is different from the structure in Yoon. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1 and 7 and claims 2-6 and 8-10, which depend from claims 1 and 7 respectively, are allowable over the cited references.

The rejection of claim 11 and 17 is respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested. Yun should be removed as a 103(a) reference against the present application, because the subject matter of Yun and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. Both Yun and the present invention are assigned to L. G. Philips LDC Co., Ltd. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 11 and 17 and claims 18-16, which depend from claim 17, are allowable over the cited references.

Applicants believe the foregoing amendments place the application in condition for allowance and early, favorable action is respectfully solicited. If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at (202) 496-7500 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance. All correspondence should continue to be sent to the below-listed address.

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE

Date: August 8, 2003

By



Eric J. Nuss

Registration No.: 40, 106

(202) 496-7500

Attorneys for Applicant



30827

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE